## Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



St Edmundsbury BOROUGH COUNCIL

Title of Report:	Ernst and Young –				
	Presentation of External Audit				
	Plan and Fees 2014/2015 and				
	2015/2016 Indicative Fees				
Report No:	PAS/SE/15/014				
Report to and date/s:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	4 June 2015			
Portfolio holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Performance and Resources <b>Tel:</b> 01284 810074 <b>Email</b> : <u>ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk</u>				
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance <b>Tel:</b> 01638 719245 <b>Email:</b> <u>Rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>				
Purpose of report:	<ul> <li>To provide members with a basis to review:</li> <li>Ernst and Young's proposed approach and scope for the 2014/2015 audit along with the planned fees to complete the work as set out in the Audit Plan, attached at <b>Appendix A</b>; and</li> <li>The indicative fees for the 2015/2016 audit as set out in the letter attached at <b>Appendix B</b>.</li> </ul>				
Recommendation:		to <u>note</u> the External Audit 14/2015, along with the 015/2016.			

Key Decision:	Is this a	KAV De	cision and, if so, ur	der which		
Rey Decision:	definitio	•				
(Check the appropriate			Decision - 🗆			
box and delete all those			ey Decision - $\boxtimes$			
that <u><b>do not</b></u> apply.)		nocuri				
Consultation:		This report has been prepared in				
			sultation with the R			
		Per	formance team, Lea	adership Team and		
	the Portfolio Holder for Performance and					
			ources.			
Alternative option(	s):	• N/A	l l			
Implications:						
Are there any <b>financ</b>	•	tions?	Yes ⊠ No □			
If yes, please give de	etails			udes the planned		
			-	lit fee of £58,356		
				n of claims fee of		
			£27,610.			
			• The report also	includes indicative		
				2016 of £43,767		
			-	d certification of		
			claims fee of £			
Are there any <b>staffir</b>		ions?	Yes 🗆 🛛 No 🖂			
If yes, please give de			•			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> in	•	' If	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
yes, please give deta			•			
Are there any legal and/or policy		Yes 🛛 No 🗆				
implications? If yes, please give details			External audit is a statutory			
Are there any <b>equal</b>	ty implicat	ions?	function.			
If yes, please give de		.10115 :	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
If yes, please give ac	luns		•			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting				
			corporate, service or project objectives)			
	inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after		
	<b>isk</b> (before controls)			controls)		
	.ow/Medium/	High*		Low/Medium/ High*		
The assessment of	1edium	-	Assessment of key	Low		
the key strategic or			strategic or operational risks and			
the financial	atement risks facing e Council may not		the financial			
statement risks facing			statement risks			
the Council may not			facing the Council			
be correct			have been identified through External			
			Audits knowledge of			
			the entity's			
			operations and discussion with			
				1		
			members and			
Ward(s) affected:						

<b>Background papers:</b> (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)	None
Documents attached:	<ul> <li>Appendix A – Ernst and Young Audit Plan 2014/2015</li> <li>Appendix B – Ernst and Young Annual Audit and Certification Fees 2015/2016</li> </ul>

## **1.** Key issues and reasons for recommendation

- 1.1 The Council's appointed external auditors, Ernst & Young ("EY"), are required to provide an audit plan which covers the work they plan to perform in order to provide the Council with:
  - An audit opinion on whether the financial statements of St Edmundsbury Borough Council give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2015 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
  - A statutory conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness. The Audit Plan (attached) is based on EY's risk-based approach to audit planning.
- 1.2 When planning the audit EY take into account several key inputs:
  - Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements.
  - Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards.
  - The quality of systems and processes.
  - Changes in the business and regulatory environment.
  - Management's views on all of the above.
- 1.3 Section 3 of **Appendix A**, summarises EY's assessment of the key risks which drive the development of an effective audit for the Council, and outlines their planned audit strategy in response to those risks. Officers will be working with EY over the coming months to ensure that these risks are managed and where possible to come to an agreement over their treatment prior to the issuing of the Annual Governance Report, and Audit Opinion (due to be issued by EY by September 2015).
- 1.4 EY also review and report to the National Audit Office ('NAO'), to the extent and in the form required by them, on our Whole of Government Accounts return.
- 1.5 The planned fee for carrying out this work for 2014/2015 is set out on page 15 of **Appendix A** and the indicative fee for 2015/16 is contained in **Appendix B**. The fees are summarised below:

	Planned fee 2014/2015 £	Indicative fee 2015/2016 £
Total Code audit fee	58,356	43,767
Certification of claims and returns	27,610	30,822

1.6 The 2015/2016 audit is the first that EY will undertake following the closure of the Audit Commission on 31 March 2015. Their contract will now be overseen by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA). The fee for 2015/2016 is an indicative fee only, set by the Audit Commission for each audited body prior to its closure. It will be reviewed and updated as necessary following completion of the 2014/15 audit.